



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

709/208,998

12/11/98

GANESAN

R

33500-029

TM02/0730

LALOS AND KEEGAN 1146 NINETEENTH STREET N W FIFTH FLOOR WASHINGTON DC 20036-3703 EXAMINER

YOUNG T

PAPER NUMBER

2162

DATE MAILED:

07/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

()

Application No.

09/208,998

Applicant(s)

Ganesan et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

John Young

Art Unit 2162



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/17/2001, paper # 12 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-31 and 34 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 34</u> 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 9

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.) 2

Art Unit: 2162

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)

- 1. The request for continued examination (RCE) filed on 05/17/2001 under 37 CFR
 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/208,998 is acceptable and an RCE has been
 established. An action on the RCE follows:
- 2. Claims 1-34 were pending at the time of the RCE.
- Per Applicant's Request for Reconsideration (paper#10, filed 4/19/2001) page 2, line 1 there appears to be a typographical error indicating that claims 1-43 are pending; also page 2, lines 1 & 2 attempt to cancel claims 32 and 33 by stating, for example: "Claims 32 and 33 are withdrawn without waiver or prejudice." Also, page 5, lines 1-3 recites: "Claims 32-33 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph. Claims 32 and 33 are withdrawn herein without waiver or prejudice, thereby rendering the rejection moot."

EXAMINER'S FORMAL AMENDMENT

4. An examiner's amendment to Applicant's Request for Reconsideration (paper#10, filed 4/19/2001) appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to Applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee; authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone

(Ganesan et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

interview with Alfred A. Stadnicki (Attorney for the Applicant (202) 887-5555) on July 20, 2001:

In Applicant's Request for Reconsideration (paper#10, filed 4/19/2001) at page 2, line 1, after the word "Claims" delete "1-43" and insert --1-34--; at page 2, line 2, after the word "are" delete the word "withdrawn" and insert -- canceled--;

at page 5, line 4, after the word "and" delete "29-33" and insert --29-31--; at page 5, line 7, after the word "and" delete "29-33" and insert --29-31--.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §112 \P 2

5. **REJECTIONS ARE MOOT** for claims 32 & 33 because said claims are canceled.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §102 of Previous Office Action

6. **REJECTIONS ARE MOOT** because of new grounds of rejections.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §103 of Previous Office Action

7. **REJECTIONS ARE MOOT** because of new grounds of rejections.

STATUS

8. Claims 1-31 & 34 are now pending.

(Ganesan et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS)

The supplemental IDS (see petition paper# 9, filed 3/19/2001 and see advisory action denial of petition) is not considered by the Examiner because there are no entries on the IDS form 1449.

DRAWINGS

10. Draftsperson's review and approval are no longer required for drawings in utility applications regardless of the application filing date. The drawings in this application are acceptable for purposes of publishing.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter

4

Art Unit: 2162

pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Independent claims 1, 9, 18, 21, 24 & 31 and dependent claim 2-8, 10-17, 20, 22-23, 25-30 & 34, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen et al. 5,931,917 (08/03/1999) [US f/d: 09/26/1998] (herein referred to as "Nguyen") in view of Rosen 5,557,518 (09/17/1996) (herein referred to as "Rosen'518").

As per claim 1, Nguyen (col. 2, ll. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest:

"A method for conducting cashless transactions, comprising the steps of: receiving, at a first network device associated with a seller, information identifying a product intended to be purchased at a purchase price by a purchaser, the purchase price to be paid by a transfer to the seller of funds on deposit in or credited to an account of the purchaser . . . transmitting over a network, to a second network device associated with a financial institute at which the purchaser account is maintained, an authorization of the purchaser to pay the purchase price for the identified product through the transfer to the

seller of the funds from the purchase account; determining if the funds in the purchaser account are sufficient with respect to the purchase price; and transmitting over the network, from the second network device to the first network device, an authorization of the financial institute for the seller to proceed with delivery of the identified product, the authorization being transmitted only if the funds are determined to be sufficient."

Nguyen does not explicitly show "the identity of the purchaser account being unknown to the seller. . . ."

Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 65-67; col. 2, ll. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) discloses:

"Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module . . . or by authorization-based payment (requiring identification of the customer) using a credit card or debit card credential."

Rosen'518 proposes "anonymous" transaction modifications that would have applied to the electronic commerce teachings of Nguyen. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to add the modifications taught by Rosen'518 to Nguyen, because it would have been obvious that the disclosure of Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 65-67; col. 2, ll. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) which discloses: "Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module. . . " would have been selected in accordance with "the identity of the purchaser account being unknown to the seller. . . " and because such modifications would have provided "a system which will allow customers to buy electronic merchandise or services on demand. . . . " (See Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 60-63)).

Ė

As per claim 2, <u>Nguyen</u> in view of <u>Rosen'518</u> shows the method of claim 1. (See the rejection of claim 1 <u>supra</u>).

Nguyen (FIG. 34) shows elements that suggest "transmitting over the network . . . the information identifying the product intended to be purchased."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "transmitting over the network, from a third network device associated with the purchaser to the first network site, the information identifying the product intended to be purchased."

Rosen'518 (FIG. 5; and FIG. 43A) shows elements that suggest "transmitting over the network, from a third network device associated with the purchaser to the first network site, the information identifying the product intended to be purchased."

Rosen'518 proposes network device transmission modifications that would have applied to the electronic commerce teachings of Nguyen. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to add the modifications taught by Rosen'518 to Nguyen, because such modifications would have provided "a system which will allow customers to buy electronic merchandise or services on demand. . . ." (See Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 60-63)).

As per claim 3, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 2. (See the rejection of claim 2 supra).

Nguyen (col. 2, ll. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E;

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

۲,

FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, II. 39-67; col. 77, II. 13-57; col. 78, II. 4-25; col. 82, II. 60-67; col. 82, II. 1-67; col. 83, II. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "wherein the information is first information and the transmitted first information further identifies the purchaser and that the payment of the purchase price will be by the transfer of the funds from the purchaser account . . . to the seller, and further comprising the steps of: transmitting over the network, from the first network device to the third network device, second information identifying a plurality of products available for purchase, a plurality of purchase prices each associated with a respective one of the plurality of products, and a plurality of payment options including payment by the transfer to the seller of the funds and payment by at least one of credit card . . . selecting, at the third network device . . . the product to be purchased from the plurality of products and . . . the payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds form the plurality of payment options; and transmitting over the network, from [sic] and the third network device to the second network device, third information identifying the product to be purchased, the purchase price of the product, and the purchaser."

8

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the purchaser account unknown to the seller..."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "at least one of a debit card. . . . "

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 65-67; col. 2, ll. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) discloses:

"Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module . . . or by authorization-based payment (requiring identification of the customer) using a credit card or debit card credential."

Rosen'518 proposes "anonymous" transaction modifications and debit card modifications that would have applied to the electronic commerce teachings of Nguyen. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to add the modifications taught by Rosen'518 to Nguyen, because it would have been obvious that the disclosure of Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 65-67; col. 2, ll. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) which discloses: "Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module..." would have been selected in accordance with "the purchaser account unknown to the seller..." and because such modifications would have provided "a system which will allow customers to buy electronic merchandise or services on demand..." (See Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 60-63)).

As per claim 4, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 3. (See the rejection of claim 3 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76,

ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "the authorization of the purchaser is transmitted from the third network device to the second network device."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the authorization of the purchaser is transmitted from the third network device to the second network device." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "the authorization of the purchaser is transmitted from the third network device to the second network device. . . ." because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 5, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 3. (See the rejection of claim 3 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "the third information is transmitted responsive only to the selecting the payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds. . . ."; however,

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the third information is transmitted responsive only to the selecting the payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "the third information is transmitted responsive only to the selecting the payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds. . . ." because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the

ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 6, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 3. (See the rejection of claim 3 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "automatically establishing a hyperlink to the second network device for transmission of the third information after the selecting of payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds. . . . "; however,

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "automatically establishing a hyperlink to the second network device for transmission of the third information after the selecting of payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col.

(Ganesan et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

78, II. 4-25; col. 82, II. 60-67; col. 82, II. 1-67; col. 83, II. 1-67; col. 84, II. 1-67; col. 85, II. 1-67; col. 86, II. 1-67; and col. 88, II. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "automatically establishing a hyperlink to the second network device for transmission of the third information after the selecting of payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds. . . ." because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 7, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 3. (See the rejection of claim 3 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "transmitting over the network, form the first network device to the second network device, a notice of delivery of the identified product to the purchaser; and directing the transfer of the funds to the seller responsive to receipt of the notice of delivery at the second network device. . . . "; however,

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "transmitting over the network, from the first network device to the second network device, a notice of delivery of the identified product to the purchaser; and directing the transfer of the funds to the seller responsive to receipt of the notice of delivery at the second network device." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "transmitting over the network, form the first network device to the second network device, a notice of delivery of the identified product to the purchaser; and directing the transfer of the funds to the seller responsive to receipt of the notice of delivery at the second network device. . . . " because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 8, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 1. (See the rejection of claim 1 supra).

15

Serial Number: 09/208,998

Art Unit: 2162

Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "the method is performed in real time and the network is the Internet. . . ."; however,

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the method is performed in real time and the network is the Internet." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 47; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "the method is performed in real time and the network is the Internet. . . ." because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.) 16

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 9 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 10 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 2.

Claim 11 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 3.

Claim 12 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 4.

As per claim 13, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 11. (See the rejection of claim 11 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "the third network device includes an input device for receiving the first input and the second input. . . .; however,

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the third network device includes an input device for receiving the first input and the second input." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG.

17

Serial Number: 09/208,998

Art Unit: 2162

1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "the third network device includes an input device for receiving the first input and the second input. . . ." because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 14, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 11. (See the rejection of claim 11 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "the third network device is further configured to transmit, to the

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

second network device via the network, the third information responsive only to receiving the second input. . . . "; however,

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the third network device is further configured to transmit, to the second network device via the network, the third information responsive only to receiving the second input." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, II. 4-25; col. 82, II. 60-67; col. 82, II. 1-67; col. 83, II. 1-67; col. 84, II. 1-67; col. 85, II. 1-67; col. 86, 11. 1-67; and col. 88, 11. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "the third network device is further configured to transmit, to the second network device via the network, the third information responsive only to receiving the second input. . . . " because such selection would have provided "secure transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 15, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 11. (See the rejection of claim 11 supra).

Art Unit: 2162

Nguyen (FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "the third network device is further configured to automatically establish a hyperlink to the second network device via the network for transmission of the third information responsive to receipt of the second input. . . ."; however,

19

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the third network device is further configured to automatically establish a hyperlink to the second network device via the network for transmission of the third information. . . ." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "the third network device is further configured to automatically establish a hyperlink to the second network device via the network for transmission of the third information. . . ."

because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet."

(See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "the third information responsive to receipt of the second input. . . ." because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

Claim 16 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 7.

Claim 17 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 8.

As per claim 18, Nguyen (col. 2, ll. 56-67; FIG. 22; FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9;

FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "An article of manufacture for conducting cashless transactions over a network having a plurality of network stations, comprising: a computer readable storage medium; and computer programming stored on the storage medium, wherein the stored computer programming is configured to be readable from the computer readable storage medium by a computer and thereby cause the computer to operate so as to: generate a signal to establish a first network communications link, with a first network station associated with a seller; receive from the first network station, via the first network communications link, first information identifying a plurality of products available for purchase from the seller, a plurality of purchase prices each associated with a respective one of the plurality of products, and a plurality of payment options including payment of the purchase price by a transfer to the seller of funds from an account of a purchaser and payment by at least one of credit card . . . display the first information; receive first inputs from the purchaser selecting a product from the plurality of products and a payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds form the plurality of payment options; automatically generate, responsive only to the selection of the payment of the purchase price by the transfer of the funds, a signal to establish a second network communications link with a second network

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.) 22

Art Unit: 2162

station associated with a financial institute with which the account is maintained; transmit to the first network station, via the first network communications link, second information identifying the selected product, and the identity of the purchaser . . . transmit to the second network station, via the second network communications link, third information identifying the selected product, the purchase price of the selected product, and the identity of the purchaser; receive from the second network station, via the second network communications link, a request to approve payment of the purchase price by the transfer by the financial institute to the seller of the funds; receive second inputs from the purchaser approving payment of the purchase price for the selected product by the transfer by the financial institute to the seller of the funds; transmit to the second network station. via the second network communications link, fourth information representing the purchaser approval of the payment of the purchase price for the selected product by the transfer by the financial institute to the seller of the funds; and receive, via the second network communications link, fifth information representing an account statement indicating that the funds have been transferred from the account by the financial institute to the seller in payment of the purchase price of the selected product; and display the fifth information."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "at least one of a debit card...."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "without identifying the account...."

Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 65-67; col. 2, ll. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) discloses:

"Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a

money module . . . or by authorization-based payment (requiring identification of the customer) using a credit card or debit card credential."

Rosen'518 proposes "anonymous" transaction modifications and debit card modifications that would have applied to the electronic commerce teachings of Nguyen. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to add the modifications taught by Rosen'518 to Nguyen, because it would have been obvious that the disclosure of Rosen'518 (col. 1, II. 65-67; col. 2, II. 1-3; and col. 19, II. 40-49) which discloses: "Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module. . . . " would have been selected in accordance with "without identifying the account. . . . " and because such modifications would have provided "a system which will allow customers to buy electronic merchandise or services on demand. . . . " (See Rosen'518 (col. 1, II. 60-63)).

As per claim 19, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 18. (See the rejection of claim 18 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 22; FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67

67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "each of the network communication links is an Internet communication link and the second network communications link is established by a hyperlink. . . . "; however,

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "each of the network communication links is an Internet communication link and the second network communications link is established by a hyperlink." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with ""each of the network communication links is an Internet communication link and the second network communications link is established by a hyperlink. . . . " because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 20, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 18. (See the rejection of claim 18 supra).

Nguyen (col. 2, ll. 56-67; col. 3, ll. 10-45; and col. 4, ll. 10-40) shows elements that suggest "wherein the first network communications link is a relatively unsecure communication link and the second network communications link is a relatively secure communications link."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "wherein the first network communications link is a relatively unsecure communication link and the second network communications link is a relatively secure communications link. . . . "; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (col. 2, ll. 56-67; col. 3, ll. 10-45; and col. 4, ll. 10-40) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein the first network communications link is a relatively unsecure communication link and the second network communications link is a relatively secure communications link. . . ." because such selection would have provided means for "transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 21, Nguyen (col. 2, ll. 56-67; FIG. 22; FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col.

(Ganesan et al.)

26

Art Unit: 2162

84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "An article of manufacture for conducting cashless transactions over a network having a plurality of network stations, comprising: a computer readable storage medium; and computer programming stored on the storage medium, wherein the stored computer programming is configured to be readable from the computer readable storage medium by a computer and thereby cause the computer to operate so as to: receive, via the network, information identifying a product, a purchase price of the product, an identity of a seller of the product, and an identity of a purchaser intending to purchase the product by payment of the purchase price through a transfer by a financial institute to the seller of funds from an account of the purchaser maintained with the financial institute . . . transmit to a first network station, via the network, a request for purchaser approval of the payment of the purchase price through the transfer by the financial institute to the seller of the funds; receive from the first network station, via the network, the purchaser approval of the payment; determine if the funds are sufficient with respect to the purchase price; and transmit to a second network station, via the network, an authorization of the financial institute to proceed with a sale to the purchaser of the product after the funds are determined to be sufficient and the purchaser approval is received; transmit a direction to transfer the funds in payment of the purchase price of the product; and transmit to the first network station, via the network, an account statement indicating the funds have been transferred in payment of the purchase price of the product."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the account being unidentified to the seller..."

Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 65-67; col. 2, ll. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) discloses:

"Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module . . . or by authorization-based payment (requiring identification of the customer) using a credit card or debit card credential."

Rosen'518 proposes "anonymous" transaction modifications and debit card modifications that would have applied to the electronic commerce teachings of Nguyen. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to add the modifications taught by Rosen'518 to Nguyen, because it would have been obvious that the disclosure of Rosen'518 (col. 1, II. 65-67; col. 2, II. 1-3; and col. 19, II. 40-49) which discloses: "Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module. . . ." would have been selected in accordance with "without identifying the account. . . ." and because such modifications would have provided "a system which will allow customers to buy electronic merchandise or services on demand. . . ." (See Rosen'518 (col. 1, II. 60-63)).

As per claim 22, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 21. (See the rejection of claim 21 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG.

Art Unit: 2162

16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "wherein the network is the Internet. . . ."; however,

28

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "wherein the network is the Internet." It would have been obvious that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein the network is the Internet...." because such selection would have provided "[secure] transmission of data... between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 23, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 21. (See the rejection of claim 21 supra).

Nguyen (col. 2, 11. 56-67; col. 3, 11. 10-45; and col. 4, 11. 10-40) shows elements that suggest "wherein communications transmitted to and received from the first network station via the network are relatively secure communications and communications transmitted to and received from the second network station via the network are relatively unsecure communications."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "wherein communications transmitted to and received from the first network station via the network are relatively secure communications and communications transmitted to and received from the second network station via the network are relatively unsecure communications. . . ."; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (col. 2, 1l. 56-67; col. 3, 1l. 10-45; and col. 4, 1l. 10-40) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein communications transmitted to and received from the first network station via the network are relatively secure communications and communications transmitted to and received from the second network station via the network are relatively unsecure communications. . . . "because such selection would have provided means for "transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 24, Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A;

FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "A method for conducting cashless transactions, comprising: transmitting, from a first network device representing a seller to a second network device representing a purchaser, information identifying a product available for purchase, a purchase price of the product, and a plurality of payment options including payment by a first form of payment and payment by a second form of payment different than the first form of payment; selecting one of the plurality of payment options at the second network device; transmitting, from the second network device to a third network device representing a financial institute, the information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product, only if the payment of the purchase price by the first form of payment is selected; and transmitting, from the third network device, an authorization of the financial institute for the seller to proceed with delivery of the identified product to the purchaser, responsive to the information transmitted from the second network device to the third network device."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of: "A method for conducting cashless transactions, comprising: transmitting, from a first network device representing a seller to a second network device representing a purchaser, information identifying a product

available for purchase, a purchase price of the product, and a plurality of payment options including payment by a first form of payment and payment by a second form of payment different than the first form of payment; selecting one of the plurality of payment options at the second network device; transmitting, from the second network device to a third network device representing a financial institute, the information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product, only if the payment of the purchase price by the first form of payment is selected; and transmitting, from the third network device, an authorization of the financial institute for the seller to proceed with delivery of the identified product to the purchaser, responsive to the information transmitted from the second network device to the third network device."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "A method for conducting cashless transactions, comprising: transmitting, from a first network device representing a seller to a second network device representing a purchaser, information identifying a product available for

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.)

et al.) 32

Art Unit: 2162

purchase, a purchase price of the product, and a plurality of payment options including payment by a first form of payment and payment by a second form of payment different than the first form of payment; selecting one of the plurality of payment options at the second network device; transmitting, from the second network device to a third network device representing a financial institute, the information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product, only if the payment of the purchase price by the first form of payment is selected; and transmitting, from the third network device, an authorization of the financial institute for the seller to proceed with delivery of the identified product to the purchaser, responsive to the information transmitted from the second network device to the third network device. . . . " because such selection would have provided means for "transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 25, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 24. (See the rejection of claim 24 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, ll. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll.

Art Unit: 2162

60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "wherein the authorization of the financial institute is transmitted from the third network device to the first network device."

33

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "wherein the authorization of the financial institute is transmitted from the third network device to the first network device. . . . "; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, ll. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein the authorization of the financial institute is transmitted from the third network device to the first network device. . . ." because such selection would have provided means for "transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 26, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 25. (See the rejection of claim 25 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, ll. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "transmitting, from the third network device to the first network, the information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product in conjunction with the transmission of the authorization of the financial institute."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "transmitting, from the third network device to the first network, the information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product in conjunction with the transmission of the authorization of the financial institute. . . ."; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, ll. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) would

have been selected in accordance with "transmitting, from the third network device to the first network, the information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product in conjunction with the transmission of the authorization of the financial institute. . . ." because such selection would have provided means for "transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 27, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 24. (See the rejection of claim 24 supra).

Nguyen (col. 2, II. 56-67; FIG. 22; FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, II. 19-67; col. 66, II. 1-5; col. 75, II. 39-67; col. 76, II. 39-67; col. 77, II. 13-57; col. 78, II. 4-25; col. 82, II. 60-67; col. 82, II. 1-67; col. 83, II. 1-67; col. 84, II. 1-67; col. 85, II. 1-67; col. 86, II. 1-67; and col. 88, II. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "wherein the first form of payment is a transfer of funds on deposit in or credited to an account of the purchaser, the identity of the account being unknown to the seller, and further comprising: transmitting, from the third network device, an instruction to transfer the funds from the account to the seller in payment of the identified purchase price for the identified product."

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.)

36

Art Unit: 2162

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the identity of the account being unknown to the seller..."

Rosen'518 (col. 1, Il. 65-67; col. 2, Il. 1-3; and col. 19, Il. 40-49) discloses: "Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module . . . or by authorization-based payment (requiring identification of the customer) using a credit card or debit card credential."

Rosen'518 proposes "anonymous" transaction modifications and debit card modifications that would have applied to the electronic commerce teachings of Nguyen. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to add the modifications taught by Rosen'518 to Nguyen, because it would have been obvious that the disclosure of Rosen'518 (col. 1, 11. 65-67; col. 2, 11. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) which discloses: "Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module. . . . " would have been selected in accordance with "the identity of the account being unknown to the seller...." and because such modifications would have provided "a system which will allow customers to buy electronic merchandise or services on demand. ... "(See Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 60-63)).

As per claim 28, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 27. (See the rejection of claim 27 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, 11. 56-67;

Art Unit: 2162

FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "wherein the account is maintained by the financial institute."

37

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "wherein the account is maintained by the financial institute..."; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, Il. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein the account is maintained by the financial institute...." because said financial institution would have had "the responsibility of providing payment on behalf of the customer..." (See Nguyen (col. 2, Il. 48-50)).

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 29, <u>Nguyen</u> in view of <u>Rosen'518</u> shows the method of claim 24. (See the rejection of claim 24 <u>supra</u>).

Nguyen (col. 2, II. 45-55; FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, II. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, II. 19-67; col. 66, II. 1-5; col. 75, II. 39-67; col. 76, II. 39-67; col. 77, II. 13-57; col. 78, II. 4-25; col. 82, II. 60-67; col. 82, II. 1-67; col. 83, II. 1-67; col. 84, II. 1-67; col. 85, II. 1-67; col. 86, II. 1-67; and col. 88, II. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "wherein the second form of payment is one of payment by credit card and payment by debit card."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "wherein the second form of payment is one of payment by credit card and payment by debit card. . . . "; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (col. 2, Il. 45-55; FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, Il. 56-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with the disclosure of Nguyen (col. 2, Il. 45-55) because said financial institution would have had

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan

(Ganesan et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

"the responsibility of providing payment on behalf of the customer..." (See Nguyen (col. 2, 11, 48-50)).

As per claim 30, Nguyen in view of Rosen'518 shows the method of claim 24. (See the rejection of claim 24 supra).

Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, ll. 45-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "transmitting from the second network device to the first network device, the information identifying the product to be purchased, the purchase price of the product, and the second form of payment, if the payment of the purchase price by the second form of payment is selected."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "transmitting from the second network device to the first network device, the information identifying the product to be purchased, the purchase price of the product, and the second form of payment, if the payment of the purchase price by the second form of payment is selected. . . ."; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; col. 2, ll.

40

Serial Number: 09/208,998

Art Unit: 2162

45-67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, ll. 19-67; col. 66, ll. 1-5; col. 75, ll. 39-67; col. 76, ll. 39-67; col. 77, ll. 13-57; col. 78, ll. 4-25; col. 82, ll. 60-67; col. 82, ll. 1-67; col. 83, ll. 1-67; col. 84, ll. 1-67; col. 85, ll. 1-67; col. 86, ll. 1-67; and col. 88, ll. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "transmitting from the second network device to the first network device, the information identifying the product to be purchased, the purchase price of the product, and the second form of payment, if the payment of the purchase price by the second form of payment is selected. . . ." because such selection would have provided means for "transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

As per claim 31, Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "A system for conducting cashless transactions over [sic] network, comprising: a

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.) 41

Art Unit: 2162

first network device representing a seller configured to transmit information identifying a product available for purchase, a purchase price of the product, and a plurality of payment options including payment by a first form of payment and payment by a second form of payment different than the first form of payment; a second network device representing a purchaser configured to receive the transmitted information, to select one of the plurality of payment options, and to transmit a first message only if the first form of payment is selected as the one payment option and a second message only if the second form of payment is selected as the one payment option; and a third network device representing a financial institute; wherein the first message is transmitted to the third network device and includes information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product; wherein the second message is transmitted to the first network device and includes information identifying the product to be purchased, the purchase price of the product, and the selected second form of payment; wherein the third network device is further configured to transmit an authorization of the financial institute for the seller to proceed with delivery of the identified product to the purchaser, responsive to the transmitted first message."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of: "A system for conducting cashless transactions over [sic] network, comprising: a first network device representing a seller configured to transmit information identifying a product available for purchase, a purchase price of the product, and a plurality of payment options including payment by a first form of payment and payment by a second form of payment different than the first form of payment; a

Art Unit: 2162

second network device representing a purchaser configured to receive the transmitted information, to select one of the plurality of payment options, and to transmit a first message only if the first form of payment is selected as the one payment option and a second message only if the second form of payment is selected as the one payment option; and a third network device representing a financial institute; wherein the first message is transmitted to the third network device and includes information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product; wherein the second message is transmitted to the first network device and includes information identifying the product to be purchased, the purchase price of the product, and the selected second form of payment; wherein the third network device is further configured to transmit an authorization of the financial institute for the seller to proceed with delivery of the identified product to the purchaser, responsive to the transmitted first message."

42

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Nguyen (FIG. 21A; FIG. 22; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1B; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 22; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) would have been selected in accordance with "A system for conducting cashless transactions over [sic]

(Ganesan et al.)

43

Art Unit: 2162

network, comprising: a first network device representing a seller configured to transmit information identifying a product available for purchase, a purchase price of the product, and a plurality of payment options including payment by a first form of payment and payment by a second form of payment different than the first form of payment; a second network device representing a purchaser configured to receive the transmitted information, to select one of the plurality of payment options, and to transmit a first message only if the first form of payment is selected as the one payment option and a second message only if the second form of payment is selected as the one payment option; and a third network device representing a financial institute; wherein the first message is transmitted to the third network device and includes information identifying the product to be purchased and the purchase price of the product; wherein the second message is transmitted to the first network device and includes information identifying the product to be purchased, the purchase price of the product, and the selected second form of payment; wherein the third network device is further configured to transmit an authorization of the financial institute for the seller to proceed with delivery of the identified product to the purchaser, responsive to the transmitted first message. . . . " because such selection would have provided means for "transmission of data . . . between a plurality of computer systems over a public communication system, such as the Internet." (See Nguyen (the ABSTRACT)).

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 34, <u>Nguyen</u> in view of <u>Rosen'518</u> shows the method of claim 31. (See the rejection of claim 31 <u>supra</u>).

Nguyen (col. 2, Il. 56-67; FIG. 22; FIG. 21A; FIG. 47; FIG. 54; FIG. 64; FIG. 67; FIG. 1C; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; FIG. 15A; FIG. 15B; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18A; FIG. 18C; FIG. 18E; FIG. 19; FIG. 20A; FIG. 20B; FIG. 27; FIG. 28; FIG. 33; FIG. 34; FIG. 35; FIG. 37; FIG. 40 FIG. 48; FIG. 49; FIG. 50; col. 65, Il. 19-67; col. 66, Il. 1-5; col. 75, Il. 39-67; col. 76, Il. 39-67; col. 77, Il. 13-57; col. 78, Il. 4-25; col. 82, Il. 60-67; col. 82, Il. 1-67; col. 83, Il. 1-67; col. 84, Il. 1-67; col. 85, Il. 1-67; col. 86, Il. 1-67; and col. 88, Il. 7-47) shows elements that suggest: "wherein the first form of payment is a transfer of funds on deposit in or credited to an account of the purchaser, the identity of the account is unknown to the seller; and the third network device is further configured to transmit an instruction to transfer the funds from the account to the seller in payment of the identified purchase price for the identified product."

Nguyen lacks an explicit recital of "the identity of the account is unknown to the seller..."

Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 65-67; col. 2, ll. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) discloses:

"Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module . . . or by authorization-based payment (requiring identification of the customer) using a credit card or debit card credential."

Serial Number: 09/208,998 (Ganesan et al.) 45

Art Unit: 2162

Rosen'518 proposes "anonymous" transaction modifications and debit card modifications that would have applied to the electronic commerce teachings of Nguyen. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to add the modifications taught by Rosen'518 to Nguyen, because it would have been obvious that the disclosure of Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 65-67; col. 2, ll. 1-3; and col. 19, ll. 40-49) which discloses: "Payment may be made in one of two alternative forms: by anonymous payment using a money module. . . ." would have been selected in accordance with "the identity of the account is unknown to the seller. . . ." and because such modifications would have provided "a system which will allow customers to buy electronic merchandise or services on demand. . . ." (See Rosen'518 (col. 1, ll. 60-63)).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

12. Applicant's arguments filed 4/19/2001 (Request for Reconsideration, paper #10) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because said arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection presented <u>supra</u>.

RELEVANT PRIOR ART

13. The prior art reference made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patents

5,453,601, U.S. Pat. [Sep. 26, 1995] Rosen, 705/65
"ELECTRONIC-MONETARY SYSTEM." This reference discusses electronic

(Ganesan et al.)

46

Art Unit: 2162

money transactions and transmission between financial institutions and point of sale sites. (See the ABSTRACT; and FIG. 3). Ref.: Claims 1-31 & 34.

CONCLUSION

14. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703) 308-6165 or 305-6606 or 308-6296 (for formal communications marked

EXPEDITED

PROCEDURE), or

(703) 308-5397 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Sixth floor Receptionist Crystal Park II 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

(Ganesan et al.)

47

Art Unit: 2162

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

John L. Young

Patent Examiner

PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 25, 2001